

Joe Biden Computer Generated Hologram, In 2020

August

By Kevin A. Sensenig

Draft 1.03

2020 August 1 – 2020 October 23

YASMIN VOSSOUGHIAN: Our health care system seems to be crumbling under this crisis... Are you now reconsidering your position when it comes to single payer health care?

JOE BIDEN: Single payer will not solve that at all. The thing that is needed is — for example, we have a whole number of hospitals that are being stretched, including rural hospitals, that are going to need more financing. That doesn't come from a single payer system. That comes from the federal government stepping up and dealing with the concerns that they have. The reimbursement that they're going to get. How they're going to be able to move forward. And how they're going to be able to provide all the needed help — that is needed within their communities. This is an opportunity to look at reconstructing the health care system in a way that can respond more rapidly and more effectively to these kinds of crises. Because it's going to come again. We should be spending — and we are spending — a great deal of time and effort finding a vaccine. Finding a way that we can deal with preventing these diseases further down the road. For example, we had people — when our administration — we had CDC people in other countries because we wanted to anticipate when in fact another virus would occur. When in fact a pandemic might occur as a consequence of a spreading virus in another country. To act quickly. President — we withdrew those people. I suggested that we should have people in China at the outset of this event when it all started in Wuhan province. And what happened? We did not insist that they go into the areas. So that's all I can do — say what I know has to be done.

Joe Biden Computer Generated Hologram, in 2020 August: In fact, what I know more of is that with the increased financing comes increased responsibility. Let me draw an analogy. When Trump -- with all due respect -- when the President -- of the United States -- President Trump met with Premier Kim Jong Un, he should have let lower level staff do the work, instead he gives this platform to President Kim of a shared stage with the leader of the free world -- and then with that situation, you have a situation, where, you know -- you can see that -- what -- what needed to -- you know, it's clear. What you needed to have is a situation with what I call distance engagement that the aggressor, in this case North Korea, has to meet with the staff, or the President if it's reached that stage where actual verifiable documents are to be signed, that have been worked on, but this would be years in the making...and so you have a situation -- I mean, this would have been the thing to do -- where lower level staff work for years on a tenable, and I mean tenable outcome where we promise it's not like the Libya model, it's more like NATO, it's

more like the realpolitick with the Soviets, and hopefully they see Western style democracy take hold, over time, to their best interest, and to America's interests...and then the President -- it would be a situation where the thing on our side would step to the plate with a viable and I want to underscore viable agreement, that both sides could agree to, and that would be to America's interests. But here's my point: where the other side, in this case the North Koreans, would be responsible to hold up their part of the bargain, would want to denuclearize. So that's the point I want to make for the rural hospitals and so forth that get more federal financing. They need this funds and money, and they need to expand their programs, and to make sure they have the latest in treatment, that the industry decides is the best practice. And the local hospital has the responsibility to follow the industry best practices, and to follow government mandates, and CDC recommendations, which is what I would have done for this coronavirus pandemic, to address the pandemic. So this is the sort of responsibility I have for the local hospitals and we need better financing, and following comprehensive CDC guidance. Look, we don't need to let the Chinese dictate what happens in their country -- not to let in our advisers -- when it affects us. I mean, where are the sensitivity of the documents? The ones that they followed, and the system that they used, to have one of the lower rates in the world? But they didn't let in our advisers. And COVID-19 started there. So I suggest that we not look to them to respond, and we shouldn't have to ask in the first place, but maybe they would have worked with us if we had worked with them, but that's not in America's interest, to ask for what is repressive, systemic, authoritarian aggression, I mean how can we trust the results? So what I propose is that instead of looking what we can learn from the Chinese or Hong Kong or South Korean or German response is to beef up our own system, such that we insist to the Chinese that we send our teams to China to study the virus there, to see how it came about, and how we can prevent these sorts of things again. I mean, natural man-made disasters usually come about from repressive, systemic, authoritarian aggression. And war. And we don't want a war with the Chinese, so we need to insist -- or in the case of Russia -- we need to insist on free and fair elections, as the American people want it without outside, external-sovereign interference. And we all know that both the Russians and the China governments have had historically, for decades if not centuries -- since their formations -- Russia and China -- repressive, systemic, authoritarian aggression regimes. Not ones based on the interests of freedom and the markets. And free trade. Of all types. That's how we got our computers, and

computers built the Internet. But repressive, systemic, authoritarian, aggression, militaristic regimes. So we need to figure out a way to take that on. And that's just what I know, and we should do this.

APPENDED 2020 OCTOBER 23

How Team Trump Is Fighting China's Ploys to Control the UN

-New York Post

“I’ve seen firsthand the Chinese Communist Party’s increasingly aggressive efforts to manipulate UN agencies to whitewash its bad behavior, silence criticism and advance authoritarian government,” U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations Kelly Craft writes. “Unlike our predecessors, the Trump administration is clear-eyed about this threat.”